

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

1. Rejection of claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph:

5        Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The reflection shape being concave and pyramid are mutually exclusive.

**Response:**

10        Claim 10 has been amended to overcome this rejection. Claim 10 now specifies that the shape of the micro-reflection structure carrier comprises a hemisphere. In view of the amendment to claim 10, reconsideration of claim 10 is respectfully requested.

2. Rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b):

15        Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suehiro (JP 01297869).

**Response:**

20        Independent claim 1 has been amended to overcome this rejection. Claim 1 now contains the limitations previously found in claims 2 and 6. Claim 6 had been indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. As such, claim 1 is now in allowable form. Claim 3 is dependent on claim 1, and should be allowed if claim 1 is allowed. Reconsideration of claims 1 and 3 is respectfully requested.

25

3. Rejection of claims 1, 4, 10, 11, and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a):

      Claims 1, 4, 10, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibata (US 6,623,998).

**Response:**

As explained above, claim 1 now contains the limitations previously found in claims 2 and 6, and is now in allowable form. Claims 4, 10, 11, and 14 are dependent on claim 1, and should be allowed if claim 1 is allowed. Reconsideration of claims 1, 4, 10, 11, and 14 is respectfully requested.

4. Reinstatement of withdrawn claims 5, 9, 12, 19, and 20:

Independent claim 1 is generic with respect to withdrawn claims 5, 9, 12, 19, and 20, and the applicant requests that withdrawn claims 5, 9, 12, 19, and 20 be reinstated into the instant application.

5. Introduction to new claims 29 and 30:

New claim 29 is drafted to include the limitations of claims 1 and 15. Claim 15 had been indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. As such, new claim 29 is now in allowable form.

New claim 30 is drafted to include the limitations of claims 1, 2, and 16. Claim 16 had been indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. As such, new claim 30 is now in allowable form.

In view of the claim amendments, the applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Appl. No. 10/605,808  
Amdt. dated September 19, 2006  
Reply to Office action of June 22, 2006

Sincerely yours,

Winston Hsu

Date: September 19, 2006

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

5 P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562

Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail : winstonhsu@naipo.com

- 10 Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 12 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 9 PM in Taiwan.)